

163FKREC

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
3 -----x

4 TODD KREISLER,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 10 CV 7592 (RJS)

8 SECOND AVENUE DINER CORP.,

9 Defendant.  
10 -----x  
11

12 New York, N.Y.  
13 June 3, 2011  
14 10:45 a.m.  
15

16 Before:  
17

18 HON. RICHARD J. SULLIVAN,  
19 District Judge  
20

21 APPEARANCES  
22

23 ADAM SHORE  
24 Attorney for Plaintiff  
25

PAUL STAMATELATOS  
Attorney for Defendant

163FKREC

1 (Case called)

2 (In open court)

3 THE COURT: This is Kreisler v. Second Avenue Diner  
4 Corp., 10 Civ. 7592. Let me take appearances for the  
5 plaintiff?

6 MR. SHORE: Adam Shore from the law office of Adam  
7 Shore for plaintiff, Todd Kreisler.

8 THE COURT: Good morning, sir. And for the defendant?

9 MR. STAMETELATOS: Paul Stamatelatos for the defendant  
10 Second Avenue Diner Corp. and JJand K Corp. Good morning, your  
11 Honor.

12 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Stamatelatos. We're a  
13 little late getting started, I apologize for that. I had  
14 another matter that went a little longer than I thought. You  
15 guys were on time. I try not to overbook. When I start I tell  
16 lawyers to be here when I tell them to be here. Sometimes it  
17 goes a little longer, so thank you for your patience.

18 This is a discovery conference. Discovery should be  
19 wrapped up, I know I got a request in early April asking for an  
20 extension of the discovery deadlines and I denied that motion  
21 for failure to really explain why and then I got another  
22 request a couple of days later in which I also denied that  
23 request. So I haven't heard from you since, so where are we  
24 and what's going on?

25 MR. SHORE: Your Honor, since the last court

163FKREC

1 conference, there's really been no effort by the defendants to  
2 settle or resolve this matter. No discovery has been provided.

3 THE COURT: No discovery has been provided?

4 MR. SHORE: There's been discovery, I have the letters  
5 from defense counsel whereby they objected to almost every  
6 interrogatory request for production of document propounded by  
7 the plaintiff. In addition, there's been no expert inspection  
8 pursuant to Rule 34 of the facility, and the defendants are  
9 unwilling to settle because they're concerned about being sued  
10 again and they do not want to install a rest room for people  
11 with disabilities and they do not want to install a permanent  
12 ramp. That's why this case has not settled yet.

13 THE COURT: Cases either settle or they have trial.  
14 Those are the two options. So what are you proposing then at  
15 this point, Mr. Shore?

16 MR. SHORE: I think what I would propose would be for  
17 the plaintiff to conduct an expert inspection, if the Court  
18 would be so inclined to grant the request and if not, we  
19 proceed to trial.

20 THE COURT: Let me hear from Mr. Stametelatos. You're  
21 being accused of basically not producing discovery, so what's  
22 going on?

23 MR. STAMETELATOS: Mr. Shore is not correct in what he  
24 stated to your Honor this morning, Judge. There was an expert  
25 inspection scheduled for April 13th, which Mr. Shore called and

163FKREC

1 said he had to adjourn because his expert couldn't make it to  
2 New York. We picked a date for April 26, 4:00. I was at the  
3 premises with my client from 3:30 to 4:30. I contacted  
4 Mr. Shore, I left him numerous messages, and then he called me  
5 I think about two weeks later and said, "I couldn't make it, I  
6 got your message but I wasn't aware of it."

7 So I told Mr. Shore initially the only way you're  
8 going to get the defendants to look at a possible settlement is  
9 to have the expert inspection in, say here's a report, these  
10 are the problems and I can try to convince my clients to settle  
11 it. So Mr. Shore is saying I'm not trying to settle. I went  
12 out of my way, I was at the appointed inspections at the diner,  
13 Mr. Shore never showed up.

14 THE COURT: Mr. Shore, I'm not sure what's going on.  
15 You wrote a letter to me April 19 and I denied the request for  
16 a conference because, citing my individual practices, I pointed  
17 out that discovery disputes are to be submitted by joint letter  
18 so that I know what the parties have attempted to resolve, what  
19 they have resolved and what are the remaining outstanding  
20 issues and what are their respective positions, and you didn't  
21 do that. Instead, you just sort of gave me your side of the  
22 story on April 14, which was as discovery is winding down and  
23 then I haven't heard from you again. I'm not sure what's going  
24 on. Do you just have so many of these cases and you don't  
25 really intend to litigate them, you just expect quick

163FKREC

1 settlements or did you just have trouble understanding my  
2 individual practices?

3 MR. SHORE: No, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: So why am I in June having a conversation  
5 about discovery disputes? Discovery is over, right?

6 MR. SHORE: Yes, your Honor, discovery is over. Good  
7 faith efforts were made to comply with your Honor's rules.

8 THE COURT: Well, how so?

9 MR. SHORE: Mr. Stamatelatos and I met in person at  
10 his office and discussed the nature of the outstanding  
11 discovery. We were unable to reach an agreement of what needed  
12 to be evaluated when. I attempted to draft a proposed joint  
13 letter, which Mr. Stamatelatos did not sign. We therefore  
14 requested an extension of time to conduct discovery.

15 Mr. Stamatelatos mentioned that on April 26 there was an expert  
16 inspection scheduled. However, due to the --

17 THE COURT: On April 26?

18 MR. SHORE: April 26 --

19 THE COURT: He said the 13th, right?

20 MR. STAMETELATOS: The first time is from his notice  
21 of the inspection, your Honor. He sent me a notice which I  
22 said April 13 is fine. But Mr. Shore called I think two days  
23 earlier and said his expert -- I think he was from Florida,  
24 your Honor, couldn't make it. So I said no problem let's pick  
25 another date and we picked the 26th.

163FKREC

1 THE COURT: And what happened on that date?

2 MR. SHORE: Yes, your Honor, he's correct there was an  
3 expert on the 13th, he was in Florida and could not make it due  
4 to a prior obligation. I then wrote a letter requesting an  
5 extension of time to conduct the inspection and since that  
6 request was denied the plaintiff's expert did not appear on the  
7 26th of April for the expert inspection.

8 THE COURT: All right. So, look, we either schedule a  
9 trial date or I allow some limited additional discovery so that  
10 this thing is in the posture where it can actually be tried.  
11 And so let me ask Mr. Stamatelatos what do you propose we do  
12 here?

13 MR. STAMATELATOS: Judge, the defendant doesn't have  
14 to do anything at this time, your Honor. Discovery is  
15 basically closed. I went out of my way, I produced whatever I  
16 could produce to the plaintiffs, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Well, I'm not sure what that means, you  
18 produced whatever you could produce.

19 MR. STAMATELATOS: Whatever discoverable items I got  
20 from my client. Most of the items Mr. Shore requested in his  
21 request for production are public documents if he so wished,  
22 your Honor, regarding repairs, renovations, permits filed,  
23 those he can get from the Building Department, or the New York  
24 City Building Department website.

25 THE COURT: What is your defense to this claim?

163FKREC

1                   MR. STAMETELATOS: Our defense is that it's not  
2 readily achievable your Honor, number one. Number two, my  
3 client has done whatever possible to make it accessible to  
4 handicapped persons, your Honor.

5                   THE COURT: The key issue it seems to me at any trial  
6 is going to be whether or not the alterations necessary to make  
7 the facility accessible is readily achievable, right?

8                   MR. STAMETELATOS: That's correct, your Honor.

9                   THE COURT: So what is that trial going to look like?  
10 Mr. Shore? What is it going to look like given the state of  
11 the discovery and the state of the record at this point?  
12 Nobody has moved for summary judgment, so I presume the next  
13 step is we set a trial date. So tell me what this trial is  
14 going to look like.

15                  MR. SHORE: Your Honor, the trial would look like if  
16 the Court would allow an expert, there was a presuit inspection  
17 and by plaintiff's expert and also in addition Mr. Stamatelatos  
18 presented pictures to, further pictures of the facility  
19 including the rest room to the plaintiff's counsel, which was  
20 relayed to plaintiff's expert, and there is some -- although no  
21 measurements have been taken to be able to testify as to  
22 whether the bathroom can be expanded, since no exact  
23 measurements were taken, the modifications that were proposed  
24 by the plaintiff's expert to the bathroom were denied by  
25 defendant's counsel. We would present expert testimony based

163FKREC

1 on the presuit inspection pictures and also it's just clear, I  
2 have a picture of the facility here, if your Honor would like,  
3 it's clear that a permanent ramp can be placed at the entrance  
4 at minimum so certainly I think the trial would look like the  
5 plaintiff would prevail just on the entrance alone.

6 THE COURT: The fact that something is not readily  
7 achievable is an affirmative defense is it not?

8 MR. SHORE: Yes.

9 THE COURT: You're going to argue that it's not  
10 accessible. Is that in dispute, Mr. Stamatelatos?

11 MR. STAMETELATOS: Yes, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: So you're suggest that a wheelchair can  
13 get into that bathroom?

14 MR. STAMETELATOS: Yes, your Honor. There are people  
15 with wheelchairs that gain access to the diner and into the  
16 rest room, that's correct.

17 THE COURT: So that's one issue. And then you're also  
18 going to be asserting the affirmative defense that expanding  
19 the bathroom or altering the facility was not readily  
20 achievable.

21 MR. STAMETELATOS: Yes, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: That's an affirmative defense, so how are  
23 you going to prove that?

24 MR. STAMETELATOS: We can bring in an expert, your  
25 Honor.

163FKREC

1           THE COURT: Well, I mean, expert discovery is over,  
2 too. Is there an expert? Have you noticed an expert? Have  
3 you provided an expert report?

4           MR. STAMETELATOS: No, I have not, your Honor.

5           THE COURT: So you think you're going to just do that  
6 for the first time at trial?

7           MR. STAMETELATOS: Basically, the plaintiff has to  
8 prove its case first, your Honor.

9           THE COURT: He's got to prove that the facility is not  
10 wheelchair accessible, right? That's what he's got to prove.

11          MR. STAMETELATOS: That's correct, Judge.

12          THE COURT: If he can prove that, it seems, among  
13 other things, you can prove the defense that it's not readily  
14 achievable. I don't know how anybody is going to do that  
15 without an expert.

16          MR. SHORE: But I think the plaintiff has to prove  
17 that it's readily achievable, your Honor.

18          THE COURT: That's what I just asked, it's an  
19 affirmative defense.

20          MR. STAMETELATOS: But it's also part of the  
21 plaintiff's case, that it's readily achievable.

22          THE COURT: Do you agree with that, Mr. Shore? Do you  
23 agree that you have to prove that it is readily achievable and  
24 then there's an affirmative defense that it's not readily  
25 achievable?

163FKREC

1                   MR. SHORE: There's numerous laws which states that  
2 it's almost always readily achievable to install a ramp when  
3 there's only one step at a facility. I think it's clear  
4 without any question, without any doubt that it is readily  
5 achievable to install a permanent ramp. There's numerous  
6 publications published by the New York City Human Rights Office  
7 which states it's almost always readily achievable to install a  
8 permanent ramp. I'm happy to call defendants and question them  
9 with regard to what the basis for asserting it's not readily  
10 achievable, and I think it will be clear that it is readily  
11 achievable to install a permanent ramp without too many  
12 modifications, if necessary. I think just by calling the  
13 defendants plaintiff would be able to prove its case very  
14 easily.

15                  THE COURT: There are a variety of factors,  
16 non-exclusive list, which you didn't actually cite in your  
17 letter from April 14 that a fact finder should be looking to to  
18 determine whether the alterations are readily achievable. Has  
19 discovery been done that would allow the fact finder to  
20 consider these different factors? Overall financial resources  
21 of the facility?

22                  MR. SHORE: Defense counsel stated over numerous phone  
23 conversations he was going to send me financial records of  
24 defendants. He said he was going to send it by mail to me. I  
25 never received financial records. I can ask questions of

163FKREC

1 defense counsel regarding their financial condition on the  
2 stand, and be able to prove the case that it is readily  
3 achievable in that fashion and through any other witness who  
4 could be called.

5 THE COURT: I don't know what any of that means. So  
6 you've not received discovery that you've sought, right?  
7 That's what you're saying?

8 MR. SHORE: Correct, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: The overall financial resources and the  
10 overall size of business of the covered entity, the type of  
11 operation or operations, including the composition, structure  
12 and functions of the work force, the number of persons employed  
13 at the facility, the effect of expenses and resources or the  
14 impact otherwise of such action upon the facility, do you have  
15 that stuff or you don't have that stuff?

16 MR. SHORE: I do not have any of those materials.

17 THE COURT: So what have you received from  
18 Mr. Stametelatos? If we put all the paper on that table, how  
19 big a pile would it be?

20 MR. SHORE: It would just be a lease agreement.

21 THE COURT: That's the only thing you received is a  
22 lease agreement.

23 MR. SHORE: Yes.

24 THE COURT: What are you doing, Mr. Stametelatos?  
25 You're saying that's the only document you have that is

163FKREC

1 responsive to the requests that you received?

2 MR. STAMETELATOS: I gave Mr. Shore a lease, your  
3 Honor. I've given him photographs of the premises, I gave him  
4 copies of some plans of the only alterations that were ever  
5 done to the premises.

6 THE COURT: What about the financial condition of your  
7 client?

8 MR. STAMETELATOS: When I spoke to Mr. Shore I sent  
9 him a draft protective order regarding confidentiality, your  
10 Honor, because my client instructed me not to release any  
11 financials unless we could get a protective order regarding the  
12 confidentiality of those financial documents.

13 THE COURT: So you sent a proposed confidentiality  
14 agreement to Mr. Shore?

15 MR. STAMETELATOS: I did, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Shore, what are you doing?

17 MR. SHORE: I never received a confidentiality order.  
18 Never received it.

19 THE COURT: Do I literally have to hold you guys'  
20 hands? Have you done this before? Is this your first time?

21 MR. SHORE: No.

22 THE COURT: So what have you done here? You're the  
23 plaintiffs. You brought this case October of 2010. I would  
24 think you want to get this thing done. You've gotten a lease  
25 agreement and all you've done is basically send a couple of

163FKREC

1 letters that are not in conformity with my individual practices  
2 asking for extensions. The first was basically two sentences  
3 and the second was, again, just unilateral and it didn't really  
4 explain why you weren't sending it as a joint letter and why it  
5 is that these requests hadn't been complied with previously.  
6 And so now it's June and you've done nothing since this letter,  
7 right? That's what you're telling me?

8 MR. SHORE: Yes, your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Why?

10 MR. SHORE: I had phone conversations with defense  
11 counsel. This is the first time I've heard from defense  
12 counsel that people in wheelchairs can access the bathroom.  
13 After defense counsel sent pictures of the bathroom,  
14 plaintiff's expert recommended a series of steps that the  
15 defendants could take to make the bathroom accessible to people  
16 with disabilities, including putting in side grab bars,  
17 insulation under the sink, lower the trash can, lowering the  
18 mirror, among other things. There's not exact measurements --

19 THE COURT: It's not supposed to work this way. Do I  
20 have to tell you this? It seems, Mr. Stamatelatos, like you  
21 basically took the position I'm not going to produce anything  
22 and if he's not going to make a fuss then I will luck out  
23 because the clock will have run and he won't be in a position  
24 to try his case, which might actually be a successful strategy,  
25 but I'm not sure that it's a wise one.

163FKREC

1                   MR. STAMETELATOS: Your Honor, when we had scheduled  
2 even depositions I told Mr. Shore --

3                   THE COURT: Have there been any depositions?

4                   MR. STAMETELATOS: No, your Honor.

5                   THE COURT: None. So you've had no depositions,  
6 you've had no documents produced besides the lease from the  
7 defendant. You've had no expert discovery. What are we doing  
8 here? Why am I not dismissing this case for failure to  
9 prosecute, Mr. Shore? Seems to me if you have too many of  
10 these that you just can't do them all, you've got to give this  
11 to somebody else.

12                  MR. SHORE: Your Honor, I'd like to address both those  
13 issues. First of all, we have two pending cases in the Court.

14                  THE COURT: What's your excuse for doing no  
15 depositions, no expert discovery and not doing any document  
16 discovery?

17                  MR. SHORE: I noticed the defendant for depositions,  
18 Mr. Stamatelatos canceled the depositions and rescheduled them  
19 the last date to conduct depositions at his office which I  
20 agreed to produce my clients and depose the defendants. I  
21 received a letter from Mr. Stamatelatos's office stating that  
22 depositions couldn't be done because there are steps and  
23 plaintiff wouldn't be able to get into his office. That's why  
24 the depositions were not conducted at that time.

25                  THE COURT: So that's when you just said, well, I'm

163FKREC

1 helpless, what else can I do?

2 MR. SHORE: I did everything I can do.

3 THE COURT: You've got to be kidding. I've got to  
4 bring your client in here because your client has got to listen  
5 to you saying this. This is a client that put his trust in you  
6 to represent him in this matter, in a matter which is pretty  
7 important here, unless it's just a mill in which you're the  
8 real client, but I don't presume that. How could it be that  
9 you've done everything you can do? You haven't gotten anything  
10 more than a lease agreement, haven't taken any expert  
11 discovery, no depositions. Discovery is now over and,  
12 seriously, as an officer of the Court you've done everything  
13 that you could do?

14 MR. SHORE: Maybe not everything, but I tried in good  
15 faith to send a joint letter and to apply to the Court once I  
16 realized discovery was not going to be provided.

17 THE COURT: Expert discovery was not to be concluded  
18 until the middle of May and you've done nothing on that, right?

19 MR. SHORE: I sent a letter as soon as I found out  
20 from plaintiff's expert that he wasn't going to attend. I also  
21 retained a local expert and I sent a letter to defendant --

22 THE COURT: I think I should dismiss this case for  
23 failure to prosecute. I'm not going to do that because I don't  
24 think it's fair in this case. I'm going to give you 30 days to  
25 get your act together and if you don't get your act together,

163FKREC

1 I'm going to dismiss the case. This is embarrassing. This is  
2 not how you should conduct yourselves. There are people here  
3 listening to this. This is the standard for civil litigation  
4 in this courthouse? Not acceptable. And if you ignore another  
5 discovery request I'm going to sanction you.

6 MR. STAMETELATOS: I have not ignored --

7 THE COURT: You clearly have.

8 MR. STAMETELATOS: I answered every --

9 THE COURT: You clearly have. Don't start with me.

10 You've done nothing. You produced a lease. You haven't  
11 produced a witness, you haven't deposed a witness or a party.  
12 You guys have done nothing. This is not the way it works. Not  
13 in my courtroom it doesn't work this way. You don't want to be  
14 here, you don't have to be here. You can resolve this case on  
15 your own. But if you're going to be in federal court then  
16 you're going to comply with court orders which set a discovery  
17 schedule that you folks proposed and if you can't get it done  
18 because you're just too busy or you just don't give a damn,  
19 that's not my problem. I'm going to give you 30 days to get  
20 this right and it's not going to happen again. Understood?

21 MR. STAMETELATOS: Yes, your Honor.

22 THE COURT: All right. 30 days. I will issue an  
23 order to that effect. But, come on, this is a disgrace.

24 (Adjourned)